IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Norfolk Division

CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC., individually and on behalf of NORFOLK & PORTSMOUTH BELT LINE RAILROAD COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

v. Civil Action No. 2:18-cv-530-MSD-RJK

NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.	

ORDER

The matter comes before the Court pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5 and Plaintiff CSX Transportation, Inc.'s ("CSX") Motion to Seal its unredacted Reply in Support of its Motion *in Limine* to Preclude Mischaracterization of CSXT's Rate Proposals ("Reply"), together with Exhibits 2 and 3 thereto (collectively, the "Sealed Materials"). CSX has represented that these documents contain and/or reference information that have been designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential—Attorneys Eyes Only" under the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this matter. ECF No. 79.

Before this Court may seal documents, it must: "(1) provide public notice of the request to seal and allow interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object, (2) consider less drastic alternatives to sealing the documents, and (3) provide specific reasons and factual findings supporting its decision to seal the documents and for rejecting the alternatives." *Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc.*, 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000) (citations omitted).

In compliance with Local Civil Rule 5(C) and Ashcraft, CSX provided public notice of this

Motion by filing an appropriate Notice with the Court. In support of sealing, CSX argued that the

Sealed Materials, contain, discuss, and characterize highly confidential and sensitive information

relating to the Parties' transportation and shipping practices, negotiations with customers and

stakeholders, business strategy, internal communications, and other highly confidential,

proprietary, and sensitive business information, release of which could harm the Parties.

There are no less drastic alternatives to sealing: CSX filed a redacted version of its Reply

such that only information designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only"

has been redacted and, given the nature of the confidential information contained therein, redaction

of Exhibits 2 and 3 is impractical.

Based on the foregoing, the Court FINDS that the information at issue constitutes

confidential and proprietary information, and that less drastic alternatives to sealing the Sealed

Materials are not feasible.

Accordingly, the Court GRANTS CSX's Motion to Seal and ORDERS that CSX's

unredacted Reply in Support of its Motion in Limine to Preclude Mischaracterization of CSXT's

Rate Proposals, together with Exhibits 2 and 3 thereto shall be maintained under seal by the Clerk

pending further order of the Court. The Clerk is REQUESTED to send a copy of this Order to all

counsel of record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:_____At Norfolk, Virginia

The Honorable Robert J. Krask United States Magistrate Judge

2